Defining the role of ethics and ethicists in Catholic health care now and into the future is made difficult by a single factor: Catholic health care ethicists have been largely silent on the issue of core competencies for their profession. This problem is further complicated by the rapid growth of consultation, concerns about accountability and quality, and no agreed upon standard of education or training for those who provide these services within Catholic health care.

This is not a call for licensing or certification of ethicists or ethics committees. Instead, it is a call for Catholic health care ethicists to develop a core set of competencies for their field. The importance of this task for the future of Catholic health care ethics is directly proportionate to the degree to which patients, families, health care providers and the health care ministry trust ethics consultants to assist in a variety of complex issues, faithful to the mission, vision and values of Catholic health care.

In the spring 2008 issue of *Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics*, author Giles Scofield, J.D., writes:

"... if ethics is the moral limitation placed on power, and medical ethics consultants have not themselves set any discernible limits whatsoever to their own power, what more does one need to know in order to demonstrate, if not prove, that the field of ethics consultation is and can only be what it purports not to be — a moral, if not an ethics, disaster?"

Scofield’s assessment is largely critical of the efforts by the American Society for Bioethics and the Humanities in this regard. Yet it is important to note, that the society has published — and is currently reviewing — “Core Competencies for Health Care Ethics Consultation.” It is a substantive document that calls for standards among ethics committee members in both skills for ethics consultation and knowledge for ethics consultation.

Beyond the potential for the shared use of this document, Catholic health care ethics may be a far more appropriate target for Scofield’s critique. For health care ethics, ethicists and ethicists serving within Catholic health care ministries, it is critical that we develop a companion document to the society’s core competencies for health care ethics consultation within Catholic health care, one that reflects the principles of the *Ethical and Religious Directives for Catholic Health Care Services*.

Returning to a previous point, this request does not suggest that licensing and certification of ethics committees and/or ethicists necessarily follows. Mark Aulisio et al., have made some significant points in this regard in a July 2000 article in *Annals of Internal Medicine*. Two are worth reiterating here.

First, certification increases the risk for displacing providers and patients as the primary moral decision-makers at the bedside. Within the Catholic theological ethics tradition, significant deference is given to the prudential judgment of the patient. It seems therefore appropriate to echo this point here.

Second, both certification and accreditation could undermine disciplinary diversity if they were controlled by a particular discipline and widely adopted. Many ethics committees within Catholic health care thrive on the diversity of perspectives brought to bear on requests for consultation (i.e., physicians, nurses, social workers, chaplains, etc.). These interdisciplinary committees have the added benefit of housing that diversity within the context of the mission, vision and values of the Catholic health care ministry.

Certification and accreditation of an ethicist might give the impression of an “ethics expert” who could overshadow the essential interaction and dialogue among members of an ethics committee, who bring their respective and relevant expertise.

Therefore, rather than calling for licensing and/or certification, some ethicists within the Catholic health care ministry, such as Thomas Shannon, Ph.D., make the case for a theological background. Theologians were able to join the early debates in bioethics so effectively because they were able to enter the discourse with a long-standing tradition of theological reflection on life, death, suffering and the intersection of these with medicine and the good of health.

In the current context, it is a matter of whether Catholic theological health care ethics has come to some conclusions, based on this long-standing tradition of theological reflection, about what...
Theologians were able to join the early debates in bioethics so effectively because they were able to enter the discourse with a long-standing tradition of theological reflection on life, death, suffering and the intersection of these with medicine and the good of health.

should be core competencies for health care ethics in the Catholic health care ministry. Once distilled, these core competencies should complement the core competencies defined by the American Society for Bioethics and the Humanities. Until we reach such a point, it seems fair to question whether Catholic health care ethics has been faithful to the development of "appropriate standards" for medical ethical consultation within a particular diocese that will respect the diocesan bishop's pastoral responsibilities as well as assist members of ethics committees to be familiar with Catholic medical ethics and, in particular, these Directives [emphasis added by author]."  

In pondering what this might look like for Catholic health care ethics into the future, the society's document serves as an appropriate starting point. Not all committee members will need to be ethical "experts," nor would this be advisable. What would be advisable is that all members must be committed to the mission of the institution within the broader ministry of Catholic health care, with some members able to articulate the Catholic moral tradition in consideration of the Ethical and Religious Directives for Catholic Health Care Services. This does not mean that "expertise" entails mere application of the directives. It is in fact the directives that call for continued examination of the Catholic theological tradition in light of medical research or public policy. The need for an adequate response to this appeal is reinforced by the fact that, although openness to the ethical wisdom found in other religious traditions and secular culture greatly enshrines the ethical wisdom and discourse within an ethics committee, an ethics committee in a Catholic health care ministry preserves a normative tradition as a necessary part of the dialogue.

Therefore, basic competencies in Catholic theological health care ethics should be present within the competencies of an ethics committee serving within the Catholic health care ministry. Clearly articulating these basic competencies will create appropriate standards for medical ethical consultation faithful to Catholic theological ethical methodology and context. A failure to do so may, unfortunately, further legitimate Scofield's critique, not of the American Society for Bioethics and the Humanities, but rather of Catholic health care.
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The CHA Ethics Survey, 2008, provides encouraging data on the role of ethics within Catholic health care and points toward a critical issue for the future of the profession.

What is encouraging is that ethics appears to be considered significant not only in the area of mission, but also in patient care, advocacy, policy setting and leadership development. Ethicists perceive themselves as valued not only by the sponsors and mission leaders, but also by CEO's and nursing and clinical staffs. Although nearly 20 percent of ethicists report that one of their greatest challenges is "demonstrating the value of the ethicist's role," the data suggest that, broadly speaking, Catholic health care values their work and their role.

But what is that work and role? This is the critical question, one that bears on the future of the profession itself. Two years ago, Health Progress published my reflection on the work of Fr. Kevin O'Rourke, OP, J.C.D., S.T.D., titled "Doing Ethics in an Ecclesial Context." What I write now is an extension of what I wrote then.

There I closed with a quote from the 5th edition of Health Care Ethics: A Theological Analysis by Benedict Ashley, OP, Jean deBlois, CSJ, and O'Rourke, in which the authors capture a distinctive vision for ethics within Catholic health care. The following quote will be my starting point:

"[M]edical ethics has to do not with certain rules about forbidden procedures, but with a healing process by which the dignity of every human person in all its dimensions is respected by the community and by which the sick person is restored to full life in community. ... This ethical vision with its perception of the true scale of values is summed up and expressed in the sacraments, especially in the Eucharist. A Catholic health facility that really understands the healing character of the sacraments will have a perfect model for an ethical treatment of patients. The sacraments represent for us how Jesus, in love, went about treating sick people.

"What makes a Catholic hospital different from all other hospitals? Its vision of the sick is a Eucharistic vision, carried out in all details of the treatment of the sick and the mission of the healing team."
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